



KEN BIDWELL 3903 HIGHWAY 63 SOUTH TAMA, IOWA 52339

SUBJECT: Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Compliance Inspection for:

Ken Bidwell – Section 19, County – Benton, Facility #56957

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

567 IAC 65.112(459A) - Failure to submit Nutrient Management Plan

Dear Mr. Bidwell:

Attached is a copy of the report resulting from the Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) facility compliance inspection conducted on October 31, 2017.

Your attention is directed to the requirements and recommendations portion of the report.

If you have any questions, or feel this report does not represent the conditions at your facility, please call me at 563/927-2640.

The cooperation and assistance provided by you during the inspection is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Chris Gelner, Environmental Specialist

christopher.gelner@dnr.iowa.gov

Field Services and Compliance Bureau

c: -Stephen Pollard, U.S. EPA Region 7, WWPD/WENF, 11201 Renner Blvd., Lenexa, KS 66219

-Ken Hessenius, FO#3, AFO Enforcement Coordinator, Spencer, IA

enc: -AFO Facility Inspection Report

-Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Regulatory Status Form

-Aerial Photos of Site

-Copy of 567 IAC 65.112(459A)

Efile: 06 AFO Van Horne 56957 Ken Bidwell – Section 19 103117 Inspection csg

FIELD OFFICE 1, 909 W MAIN ST STE 4, MANCHESTER IA 52057

www.iowadnr.gov

Fax: 563-927-2075

Phone: 563-927-2640 07/2014

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AFO INSPECTION REPORT

	The state of the s	TY DESCRIP					
FACILITY LOCATION	Facility: Ken Bidwell – Section 19			Faci	lity ID#: 5695		
	Address: 2285 71st Street	City: Van Horne		State: IA	Zip: 5234 6		
	PLSS: Section 18,19,20, Eldora	ction 18,19,20, Eldorado Township (T83N, R10W), Benton County					
OWNER	Name: Ken Bidwell		(**************************************				
	Address:	City	<i>!</i> :	State:	Zip:		
ANIMAL HOUSING TYPE	☐ Confinement ☐ Open	Lot []	Combined (Confinem	ent & Open Lo			
ANIMAL INFORMATION	Animal Type(s)	Capacity	Current Head	Number of Bldgs./Pens			
	Cattle – Beef Open Feedlot	2,200	1,658	8			
	Date of Construction: 1965		Dates of Expansion	Dates of Expansion: 1977, 2010, 2012, 2015			
	INSPECT	ION INFORMA					
INSPECTION DATE	This Inspection 10/31/2017						
PERSONS	Name: Ken Bidwell		Last Inspection: 0	7/13/2004	13/2004		
INTERVIEWED	Name:	d extremental consession or an accomply representable fractional thronton, and a live or a thrown a greater pl		Title: Owner			
NEAREST		T. MO.					
WATERCOURSE	Stream Name: Unnamed Tributary to Prairie Creek Description of Flow Path: Southwest about 1,960 ft to UT Prairie Creek						
				9 6 K			
ODCEDVATIONO	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	ANCE SUMM	ARY				
OBSERVATIONS	Nutrient Management: ☐CNMP ☐NMP ☐MMP ☐Other ☒No formal plan						
	Manure Stockpiling:	Mortality Mar	nagement:	Runoff from	Runoff from Feed Storage:		
	☑In controlled area	⊠Rendering		☐No outdoor feed storage			
	│ ☑In compliance with rules │ ☑Not applicable – direct haul	☐Compostir☐Incineratio		area			
	Stockpiling in an uncontrolled	☐On-site burial		⊠Discharge from feedstock storage area			
	area	□Landfill		is controlled			
					age is located		
	Clean Water Diverted:	Discharge to a Water of the U.S.		in an uncontrolled area Direct Animal Contact with			
	□Yes ⊠No	via Manmade □Yes	e Conveyance: ⊠No	Waters of the	Waters of the U.S.: ☐Yes ☑No		
	A 12 per shift of						
	Adjacent Facilities (by same owner/operator): Confinement Open Lot None Evidence of Discharges: Yes No						
			The state of the s				
	Evidence of Discharges: Yes The facility, as observed during the permit. NPDES permit is required:	⊠No e inspection, wa □Yes ⊠No)				
IPDES PERMIT STATUS COMPLIANCE STATUS	Evidence of Discharges: Yes	⊠No inspection, wa □Yes ⊠No pliance with Iow	o va's environmental red	gulations at the	time of the		
STATUS COMPLIANCE	Evidence of Discharges: Yes The facility, as observed during the permit. NPDES permit is required: This facility appeared to be in company of the permit inspection. Actual conditions may be a second to the permit inspection.	⊠No inspection, wa	o va's environmental red	gulations at the maintenance	time of the		

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AFO INSPECTION REPORT

FACILITY EVALUATION

Facility

This facility consists of (8) open feedlot buildings with several pens housing a capacity of 2,200 beef cattle. Below the open feedlots are (5) settling structures. Mortalities are disposed of by rendering. Mr. Ken Bidwell, owner, was present and interviewed during the inspection.

Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)

During the inspection it was noted that a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) has not been developed. Iowa Administrative Code requires that an open feedlot with a capacity of 1,000 animal units or greater submit an NMP to this department.

567 IAC 65.112(1) The owner of an open feedlot operation which has an animal unit capacity of 1,000 animal units or more or which is required to be issued an NPDES permit shall develop and implement a nutrient management plan meeting the requirements of this rule. The owner of an open feedlot operation that seeks to obtain or is required to be issued an NPDES permit shall develop and implement a nutrient management plan meeting the requirements of this rule no later than the date on which the NPDES permit becomes effective. For the purpose of this rule, requirements pertaining to open feedlot effluent also apply to settled open feedlot effluent and settleable solids.

A copy of 567 IAC 65.112, which describes the requirements, is included at the end of this report for your information. The following website includes information on who is required to develop an NMP, what is required, and template forms that you may find helpful http://www.iowadnr.gov/Environmental-Protection/Land-Quality/Animal-Feeding-Operations/Open-Feedlots/Nutrient-Management. The NMP, with all required documents, must be submitted to this office within 30-days receipt of this letter.

Clean Water Diversion

During the inspection it was noted that measures have been taken to divert storm water from entering the production areas. Proper grading of the farm diverts surface water from entering the feedlots and feed storage areas and directs water into away from the production areas. It is recommended that the facility be inspected following a rain event to determine flow patterns and to better understand what methods to make further reductions may be most cost effective and practical. No discharge or evidence of past discharge was observed during the inspection.

Open Feedlot Settling Structures

Downslope of the feedlots is (5) grassed settling areas. It appeared that all waste from the feedlots, the feed storage area, and the mortality staging area flows into one of the settling areas. The settling areas consist of a flat grassed area with berms built around. The settled effluent flows through the structure and then through a series of sinuous grassed waterways. No evidence of discharge was observed and no evidence of past discharges was observed.

Feedstuffs

During the inspection it was noted that all feedstuffs are stored under plastic to reduce runoff and potential violations of state water quality standards. Be aware that feedstocks have potential to cause water quality violations and these areas should be monitored regularly for discharges. Paragraph 567 lowa Administration Code 61.3(2) outlines general surface water quality criteria and requires that all surface waters be free from wastewater discharges or agricultural practices that produce stream conditions with objectionable color, odor or other aesthetically objectionable conditions.

Rendering

Dead animals are disposed of by rendering at this site. The staging area for mortality rendering pick-up was observed. This staging area appears to be a good location to prevent runoff to a water of the state or man-made conduit like a ditch, tile line or culvert that leads to a water of the state. This part of the operation should be inspected frequently as runoff water could carry leachate into a water of the state where it could create violations of state water quality standards. Discharge of such pollutants would potentially require a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit.

Bio-Security

Prior to the inspection, bio-security was discussed with Mr. Bidwell and it was agreed upon that DNR bio-security SOP would be followed. DNR bio-security SOP was followed during the inspection.

REQUIREMENT

• Develop and submit a Nutrient Management Plan to this office within 30-days receipt of this letter.

RECOMMENDATION

Regularly walk around the facility to monitor for discharges and needed repairs.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

- The facility, as observed, was considered a Large CAFO based on the capacity of animals at the animal feeding operation.
- No discharge was observed during the inspection and no evidence of past discharge was observed.
- No NPDES permit is required at this time.
- Nutrient Management Plan must be submitted.



Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) Regulatory Status

racility Name: Ken Blowell – Section 19	Facility ID:	56957	County:	Benton
Large CAFO − Discharging − NI Large CAFO − No discharge − N Large CAFO − Has NPDES Perm Medium CAFO − NPDES Permi Medium AFO − No NPDES Req Medium AFO − Has NPDES Per Designated CAFO − NPDES Permit	No NPDES Perr nit it Required juired rmit rmit Required			
This determination was made based on conditions and obselease note that the regulatory status of the facility can change those documented during the inspection.	servations mac ange if conditi	le at the time o ons at the facili	f the inspection ty change or a	on on 10/31/2017. The different from
Inspector: Cfin 6lm	- Wilder Annie Communication C	Date:	11/6/17	

Regulatory Definitions of Large CAFOs, Medium CAFOs, and Small CAFOs

These regulatory definitions are from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), implementing the federal Clean Water Act.

A Large CAFO confines at least the number of animals described in the table below.

A Medium CAFO falls within the size range in the table below and either:

- "(A) Pollutants are discharged into waters of the United States through a man-made ditch, flushing system, or other similar man-made device; or
- (B) Pollutants are discharged directly into waters of the United States which originate outside of and pass over, across, or through the facility or otherwise come into direct contact with the animals confined in the operation." 40 CFR 122.23(b)(6)(ii)

If an operation is found to be a significant contributor of pollutants to waters of the United States, the permitting authority may designate a medium-sized facility as a CAFO as provided in 40 CFR 122.23(c).

A Small CAFO confines the number of animals listed in the table and has been designated as a CAFO by the permitting authority after determining that it is a significant contributor of pollutants to waters of the United States as provided in 40 CFR 122.23(c).

Animal Sector	Size Thresholds (number of animals)			
	Large CAFOs	Medium CAFOs	Small CAFOs	
cattle or cow/calf pairs	1,000 or more	300 – 999	less than 300	
mature dairy cattle	700 or more	200 - 699	less than 200	
veal calves	1,000 or more	300 - 999	less than 300	
swine (weighing over 55 pounds)	2,500 or more	750 -2,499	less than 750	
swine (weighing less than 55 pounds)	10,000 or more	3,000 - 9,999	less than 3,000	
horses	500 or more	150 - 499	less than 150	
sheep or lambs	10,000 or more	3,000 - 9,999	less than 3,000	
turkeys	55,000 or more	16,500 - 54,999	less than 16,500	
chickens other than laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling system)	125,000 or more	37,500 – 124,999	less than 37,500	
laying hens (other than a liquid manure handling system)	82,000 or more	25,000 - 81,999	less than 25,000	

Ken Bidwell – Section 19 2285 71st Street Van Horne, Iowa 52346 Facility ID# 56957



